

SUBJECT: Positive Use of CIA Intelligence

A primary objective of foreign intelligence collection is, of course, to keep one's government informed of all matters which may affect its security and well-being. This objective is for the most part passive, however, and the Central Intelligence Agency believes that its product should on occasion be used in a positive manner to protect U.S. interests abroad. Incoming intelligence reports are assessed for this purpose and when the passage of an item to another government may help to prevent an outbreak of hostilities, suppress a dangerous situation, or safeguard our or their citizens, CIA often will decide to pass it.

Attached are a few examples of these actions.

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TAB

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/03/25: CIA-RDP80M01133A001000040002-2

Tab #3 to be added Saturday morning

	IEMORANDUM FOR: The Director	
	Bill:	
25X1A	We've carefully read drafts of the Cooper, , and Bross papers on covert action and provide here a summary of their positions.	
	It may be helpful for you to be sensitive to the various points made (they may prompt specific questions from the Commissioners).	
25X1A		
	13 Nov 74	
	(DATE)	
	M NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 UG 54 O WHICH MAY BE USED.	47)

- (c) From time to time the Government Operations
 Committees particularly on the House side have insisted on
 a right of congressional oversight of the economy and
 efficiency with which the Agency conducts its activities.
 Up to now the issue has not been joined, essentially
 as a result of our voluntary cooperation with their
 various investigations or informal handling between
 committees. Chairman Holifield has been generally
 supportive of the Agency position. Mr. Moorhead
 and Mr. Moss being most interested in asserting
 some type of jurisdiction.
- (d) The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, over recent years has pressed to extend its jurisdiction over intelligence activities through the efforts to establish the overall authority abroad of State, which it oversees, and to include broad limitations on funding of programs principally administered by CIA.

B. CHRONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

1. 1947 through early 1950's - Oversight was benign.

2

- (a) Controlled by strongly entrenched congressional leadership and senior chairmen.
- (b) Cold war psychology--rank and file not predisposed to interference.
- (c) On the appropriation side, the basic concern was--do you have enough money?

2. Late 1950's

- (a) Record of infrequent briefings of oversight committees leads to Senator Mansfield's initiative for Joint Committee (defeated in 1956 by vote of 59 to 27, with 12 original sponsors opposing the resolution).
- (b) Sputnik era triggered wider congressional interest in Agency information on the Soviet threat. Agency initiated program of debriefing members of Congress who had travelled abroad, inviting them to visit Agency facilities and on occasion field installations.

3. Early 1960's

(a) The Agency furnished intelligence briefings to a number of committees including the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy on the Soviet nuclear energy program, and general intelligence briefings to House Foreign Affairs and

the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Soviet space

program briefings to the House Science and Astronautics

Committee and Senate Aeronautical and Space Sciences

Committee, and Soviet military posture briefings to the full

Armed Services Committees and the Defense Subcommittee

of House Appropriations.

- (b) Pressure continued to grow for a Joint Intelligence
 Committee which spurred the CIA Subcommittee of House
 Armed Services to examine Agency activities rather intensively.
- (c) Chairman Vinson appointed Representative Paul J.

 Kilday as Chairman of the CIA Subcommittee of House Armed

 Services with the mandate to give CIA more attention.
- (d) The "Soviet Missile Gap" argument in the 1960

 Presidential election spurred further interest in the Agency's intelligence product.
- (e) The U-2 flight of Gary Powers over the Soviet
 Union and the Bay of Pigs invasion heightened congressional
 debate and the Cuban missile crisis of 1962 refocused attention
 on the Agency's capabilities.
- (f) Reflecting Senator Russell's growing responsibilities for appropriations matters, sessions of the CIA Subcommittee

of Senate Armed Services and Senate Appropriations were held in joint session. Even so by 1965 Agency briefings of these Subcommittees rose to 34 as contrasted with 9 the previous year.

4. Late 1960's

- (a) In 1966, the Foreign Relations Committee reported a resolution to establish a Joint Committee on Intelligence with Senator Russell defeating the move by 61-29 on jurisdictional grounds.
- (b) In 1967 the Agency undertook a programmed effort to contact and brief all new members of Congress on the Agency.

(d) In 1969 the Agency's intelligence product came to the front again on the ABM system leading to a closed session of the Senate to discuss the classified aspects of the

subject including data provided by the Agency.

(e) Legislative initiatives were beginning to be proposed in an effort to circumscribe executive action through CIA principally in Southeast Asia.

25X1C

Next 8 Page(s) In Document Exempt

The state of the s intelligence program effectively which again to us billions and billions

Approved For Release:2004/03/25: @IA-RDP80M01133A001000040002-2

the distribution was a see going to abaution the idea of the plant these figures from being distribution to the idea of the figures from the idea of the i might as well adolish the agency. It would be like saying, in effect, that we do not want this secret intelligence after all, that we do not need it, and that we will abandon it. . .

We will pay an awful price for that. I am familiae with the CIA budget. I can satisfy most any Senator in the cloakroom, talking to him some about this, but I will publicly say that it is a clean budget and they have justified many times over the expenditure of the

Senator Pastore: "...

graphical in second that we

We have to know what they are doing, 'On we can knew what we have to do in order to guarantes. the security of our own country.

So we cannot come out here and tell the whole world. "We spent \$1 billion or \$2 billion for the Central Intelligence Agency." What does that mean to anyone else, except that perhaps some people think they are spending too much. And the minute the question is asked where they are spending it we are in serious

So what happens to your calldren and my children; Mr President? What happens to you when you go home lonight? What happens tomorrow? What happens to the security of our country? Can we afford to tell them? On yes, I would like to teil the public everything it is possible to tell them. I believe in that. I have been in public life continually for 40 years. I believe in the right of the public to know. But I certainly would not come to the floor of the Senate and tell you, Mr. President, how to put together an atom bomb. I would not tell you that. I would not tell you how far our nuclear subs are able to travel; I would not tell you how we can detect an enemy sub; and I would not tell you now they might detect ours. I would not tell you that. Why would I not tell you that? I would not tell you that because the minute I told you that I would jeopardize the future of your children.

I have sat down with the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. Proxense), the Seastor from California (Mr. Camston), to find a solution, and I have sat down with Mr. Colby, who is a great American. He said, "Please do not do this. It you want to make my job easier, please do not do this." I cannot sit there after that admonition and exhortation and turn around and say, "Mr. Colby; I do not believe what you have to say." If I believed that for 1 minute, I would say, "You ought to give up your job.".

rise to commend the Senator from Rhode Island and to associate myself fully with his comments. The Senator pointed out very property that the Central intelligence Agency has in the past engaged in activities that have been looked upon by certain Members of the Congress as undesirable; but I want to make it clear that every one of those activities had been ordered by a President. The Cantral Intalligence Agency does not just engage in activities for the love of work. I know that the Central Intelligence Agency, during the Kennedy years and the Johnson years, was engaged in activitles in Laus-

Mr. President, the Central Intelligence Agency is possibly the most important agency in this Government. By and large, it is made up of people who are competent, able, and who have served this country well and faithfully. To be sure, there are times when it has engaged in activities, as we have said, that are looked upon with suspicion; but I think it would be folly for us to publicize all of Its activities, to publicize the amounts it receives, particularly when there are ways and means within this body and within the other body of Congress to supervise it and to keep a check rein upon it.

I had the privilege of serving on the National Security Council, and I want to tell my colleagues that the Central Intelligence Agency was the most accurate and effective instrument of Government for that council. Its resorts were most accurate, and had we followed the advice of the Central Intelligence Agency in many areas, we would have been better off, but at least it was there.

But just as surely as we are in this body today debating whether or not we ought to have a release of the figure, next year it will be whather it is too big or too little, and then it will be what is in it. Then when we start to say what is in it, we are going to have to expose exactly what we have been doing in order to gain information; for example, years ago as to where the Soviet Union was building its nuclear subs and the kind of nuclear substities were. I saw that material in 1965—how far they were along, what their scientific progress was. I do not think it would serve the public interest for all of haat information to have been laid out. It would have destroyed our intelligence gathering completely. . .

cannot have it with national publicity on what it does, how it does it, and how much it spends here, or how much it spends there.

Senator Stennis: "...

On my responsibility to my colleagues, they in CIA keep a clean house. They have had a conservative operation dollarwise and have accounted for the money in a splendid way. That has been true without exception. There has been no great spillage of money or great extravagances, and not one bit, of scandal or odor of any kind.

Senator Thurmond: ". .

I believe that our Nation is unique in the attention its legislature has given to specifying and circumserbing the activities of the agency designated to perform its foreign intelligence missions.

Senator Proxmire:

Air. President, the purpose of the amendment which I am offering now is to provide that the overall figure for the intelligence community as a whole, not broken down but the overall figure, would be made available, so that the tarpayers of this country would have some idea of how much, now many billions of dollars—and it is billions of dollars—are going for intelligence efforts by our Government.

Now just what would this tell our advorsaries? They would not know if it all went to the CIA, or DIA. Whether the NSA spent most of the money, or the Air

How about yearly fluctuations? Say for example, that the budget went up 10 percent in 1 year. What what they conclude? That manpower was more expensive? That the CIA was spending more for Laos? That the DIA had bought a new computer division? That NSA was hiring more people? They would know nothing.

Senator Hughes:

The threat will come from losing control on the inside. If maintaining that control requires an ounce of risk, then I think we should be prepared to take that ounce of risk in at least letting as see publicly and the people see publicly whether we are spending \$3 billion, \$7 billion, or \$90 billion, and how we are concealing it and hiding it, and if we are protecting ourselves from the inside as well as from the outside.

I think that ounce of risk, it it exists, is worth taking, and I thank the distinguished Senator from Wisconsin for yielding.

Senator Jackson:

In summary, our foreign intelligence service arises out of an act of Congress and all of its activities are closely serutinized by a number of representative members of both the Senate and the House of Representatives. This is how we have resolved the palance between the needs of an open society and the needs for a secret foreign intelligence service. I certainly do not think that this is the time to unbalance the situation as I am confident enactment of the proposed amendment would do.

Senator Young: ".

I know there is great interest in the public knowing everything possible, but I think there are some things that should be kept secret for our own security.

RULES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

record vote is demanded. The result of each rollcall vote in any meeting of any committee shall be made available by that committee for inspection by the public at reasonable times in the offices of that committee. Information so available for public inspection shall include a description of the amendment, motion, order, or other proposition and the name of each Member voting for and each Member voting against such amendment, motion, order, or proposition, and whether by proxy or in person, and the names of those Members present but not voting. With respect to each record vote by any committee on each motion to report any bill or resolution of a public character, the total number of votes cast for, and the total number of votes cast against, the reporting of such bill or resolution shall be included in the committee report.

.The first part of this paragraph was derived from Sec. 133(b) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 812) and made part of the standing rules on January 3, 1953, p. 24. The requirements that committee roll calls be subject to public inspection and that the committee report on a public bill or resolution include the vote thereon, were added by Sec. 104(b) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1140) and made a part of the rules on January 22, 1971, p. ____, H. Res. 5.

((c))All committee hearings, records, data, charts, and files shall be kept separate and distinct from the congressional office records of the Member serving as chairman of the committee; and such records shall be the property of the House and all Members of the House shall have access to such records. Each comRULES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Rule XI.

§ 735.

mittee is authorized to have printed and bound testimony and other data presented at hearings held by the committee.

This provision from Sec. 202 (d) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 812) was made a part of the standing rules January 3, 1953, p. 24.

- (d)(1) It shall be the duty of the chairman of each committee to report or cause to be reported promptly to the House any measure approved by his committee and to take or cause to be taken necessary steps to bring the matter to a vote.
- (2) In any event, the report of any committee on a measure which has been approved by the committee shall be filed within seven calendar days (exclusive of days on which the House is not in session) after the day on which there has been filed with the clerk of the committee a written request, signed by a majority of the members of the committee, for the reporting of that measure. Upon the filing of any such request, the clerk of the committee shall transmit immediately to the chairman of the committee notice of the filing of that request. This subparagraph does not apply to a report of the Committee on Rules with respect to the rules, joint rules, or order of business of the House or to the reporting of a resolution of inquiry addressed to the head of an executive department.
- (3) If, at the time of approval of any measure or matter by any committee (except the Committee on Rules) any member of the committee, gives notice of

[378]

d

September 1974

Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP80M01133A001000040002-2

CIA SUBCOMMITTEES

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS

John L. McClellan (D., Ark.), Chairman

John C. Stennis (D., Miss.)

Milton R. Young (R., N. Dak.)

John O. Pastore (D., R.I.)

Roman L. Hruska (R., Nebr.)

SENATE ARMED SERVICES

CIA SUBCOMMITTEE

John C. Stennis (D., Miss.), Chairman

Stuart Symington (D., Mo.)

Peter H. Dominick (R., Colo.)

Henry M. Jackson (D., Wash.)

Strom Thurmond (R., S.C.)

HOUSE ARMED SERVICES

SPECIAL SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

Lucien N. Nedzi (D., Mich.), Chairman

F. Edward Hebert (D., La.)

William G. Bray (R., Ind.)

Melvin Price (D., Ill.)

Leslie Arends (R., Ill.)

O. C. Fisher (D., Texas)

Bob Wilson (R., Calif.)

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

"SPECIAL GROUP"

George H. Mahon (D., Texas), Chairman

Jamie L. Whitten (D., Miss.)
Robert L. F. Sikes (D., Fla.)

William E. Minshall (R., Ohio) Elford A. Cederberg (R., Mich) Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TATE	COMMITTEE	MEMBERS	SUBJECT	25X1C
April 1964	House Approved For Release 2004/93/25:	CIANREDP80N601133A0010	000040002-2	
May 1964	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen		
19 May 1964	CIA Subcommittees Senate Appropriations & Armed Services	Russell Saltonstall Hayden		
		Stennis Young		
July 1964	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen	>-	
August 1964	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen		
25 March 1965	CIA Subcommittees Senate Appropriations & Armed Services	Stennis Saltonstall Young		
25 March 1965	CIA Subcommittee of House Armed Services	Rivers Philbin Hebert		
		Price Hardy Bennett		
	*	Bates Arends O'Konski		
ŧ		Bray	• • •	n 0
				·

SECRETA

. 25X1

4. A. A. C.	V V X Y X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			OFWA O
			1	25X1C
15 April 1965	CIA Subcomamifored for Release 2004/	./03/2€ ²⁻ €9A-RDP80M01133A	<u>,</u> 001000040002-2	
	Appropriations	Bow		
	· ·	Lipscomb	1	
			1	
			1	
11 4	Consider Community	Mahon	1	
ll August 1965	Special Group, House	Manon	1	
	Appropriations Committee	Andrews Lipscomb	1	
		Bow	1	
		D0 W	1	
14 September	CIA Subcommittees of Senate	Russell	1	
1965	Appropriations and Armed	Stennis	1	
	Services	Hayden	1	
		Young	1	
			1	
21 September	CIA Subcommittees of Senate	Russell	1	
1966	Appropriations and Armed	Symington	1	
	Services	Stennis	1	
		Saltonstall	1	
		Young	1	
1		Smith	1	
September 1968	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen	1	
gebreiting, thou	House & Denate Libitobilations	Charringh	1	
			1	
	*		1	
13 October 1970	CIA Subcommittee of House	Mahon	1	
2	Appropriations	Andrews		
		Bow	· .	
1		Minshall		a •
1				
1	· ·	SECRET	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25X1
				20/(1
·		2	* *	4
· !		• •	· ·	

DALE	COMMITTEE	MEMBERS		SUBJECT	25X1C
November 1970	House & Sapproved For Release 2004/0	3/25 <u>CGIA-RD</u> B80M0	1133A00100004000	2-2	
19 November	Defense Subcommittee, Senate	Ellender	*		
1970	Appropriations	Stennis	:		
		Pastore	*		
		Mansfield			
		Young			
		Smith			
		Allott			
		Hruska	* *		
		Symington			
		Jackson			
•		Thurmond			
Il June 1971	Intelligence Operations Sub-	Ellender			
	Committee of Senate	Stennis			
	Appropriations	Young			
3 February 1972	Defense Subcommittee, House	Mahon	×-		
	Appropriations	Sikes			
		Whitten	•		
		Flood			
		Addabbo			
		McFall			
	-80-	Minshall			
		Rhodes			
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Davis			
•		Wyman			
*					
May 1972	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen			
			*		
		SECRET,	•		25X1
<u>;</u>		3			

DA CO		proved For Release 2004/03/25 : 0	CIA-RDP80M01133A001000040002-2		
DATE	COMMITTEE	MEMBERS	SUBJECT		25X10
January 1973	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen		SUBJECT	
16 January 1973	Subcommittees, House Appropriations	Mahon Sikes Whitten Flood Addabbo McFall Flynt Minshall Rhodes Davis Wyman Patten Long Hansen McKay Cederberg			
13 March 1973	CIA Subcommittees of Senate Armed Services and Appropriations	McClellan Pastore Hruska Symington Jackson Thurmond			
13 March 1973	Same	McClellan Hruska Symington Church (invitee)			
25X1	41	SECRET.			-

DATE	COMMITTEE	MEMBER	25X1C
		MEMBERS	SUBJECT
January 1973	House & Senate Appropriations	Chairmen	
16 January 1973	Defense, Military Construction Subcommittees, House Appropriations	Mahon Sikes Whitten Flood Addabbo McFall Flynt Minshall Rhodes Davis Wyman	
		Patten Long Hansen McKay Cederberg	
13 March 1973	CIA Subcommittees of Senate Armed Services and Appropriations	McClellan Pastore Hruska Symington Jackson Thurmond	
13 March 1973	Same	McClellan 1 Hruska A Symington e Church (invitee)	
£ .	2,	SECRET, 25;: CIA-RDP80M01133A001000040	25X1 0002-2

MEMBERS

DATE

COMMITTEE

25X1C

SUBJECT

ll October 1973	Intelligence Operations of Senate Appropriations	McClellan Young	
ll October 1973	Inter-American Affairs Subcommittee of House Foreign Affairs	Fascell Rosenthal Harrington Steele Whalen	
16 October 1973	Special Group of House Appropriations	Mahon Whitten Sikes Minshall Cederberg	
26 November 1973	Subcommittee on Western Hemi- sphere Affairs of Senate Foreign Relations Committee	McGee Sparkman Muskie Aiken Case Scott Pearson	*
22 April 1974	Subcommittee on Intelligence of	Nedzi	:
*	House Armed Services		1

5

SECRET.

25X1

_

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TAB

	-	Approved For Pologog 2004/02/25 - CIA PDP80M04422A004000040002 2	
	*	Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP80M01133A001000040002-2	
	-	ILLEGIB	
	1		
		2023 M STREET, ММ.	
	-	WASHINGTON, D.C. 26303	
	i	November.11, 1974	
	-		
		MEMORANDUM FOR Committee II: Intelligence	
		Amb. Robert D. Murphy, Chairman	
		Rep. Peter H. B. Frelinghuysen / Mr. Arend D. Lubbers	
	-	Dr. David M. Abshire	
		Mr. William J. Casey	
	í		
		SUBJECT: Plans for November 18/19 Meeting	
	,	The following schedule is suggested for the meeting of	
	12	the Intelligence Committee on Monday, November 18 and	
		Tuesday morning, November 19.	
	المعادلية . الما	المراكب والمستقل المراكب المراكب المراكب المراكب والمراكب والمراكب والمناكب والمراكب والمستقل والمستقل والمراكب	•
		There will be a classified discussion of clandestine	•
		operations beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, November 18, in the Conference room of the President's Foreign Intelligence	
	1	Advisory Board (Room 340, Old Executive Office Building.	
	-	riease use the lith Street entrance and ask for Mr. Buene 1	
	.,,	The first order of business will be a closed briefing from	
		the CIA on clandestine operations. At 11:15, there will be	
	-	a discussion of the Commission's analytical issue papers on clandestine operations (see below). An informal sandwich	
		Tunch will be served in the Conference room from 1.86-2.60	
	L	followed by an examination of the "All-Source Study" prepared	
STATIN		oy and Because of its highly	
	ı	classified nature, this paper will have to be read in the PFIAB Conference room.	·
	_		•
		On Tuesday, November 19, from 9:30 a.m. until 12:30, the	
		meeting will be held in the Conference room (third floor) of	• •
	-	the Commission offices at 2025 M Street, to prepare findings	i
•		and recommendations on covert action and other aspects of clandestine operations.	
		Enclosed herewith are analytical issue papers concerning	
:	ŧ	clandestine operations expressly written under contract for	
		the Commission:	
	•	a. "Intelligence Functions" by \ 25X1	IΔ
ļ		an overview of intelligence that touches on clandestine	· / \
	_	activity.	

 	Approved For Re	lease 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP80)M01133A001000040002-2	
1	Subj: Plans for	Nov18/19 Meeting	Page 2	
	a researd	tine Operations" by ch paper specificall caspects of clandes	y on covert action tine operations.	25X1A
STATINTL	primarily	I a Thi	Covert Intelligence" ink-piece focused onal alternatives for	
STATINTL	d. A "commen by	tarv" on the	papers	25X1
			O. Wilcox ve Director	~
	Inclosures			